Nakamoto Consensus from Multiple Resources

Mirza Ahad Baig Christoph U. Günther Krzysztof Pietrzak

Institute of Science and Technology Austria



Our Result:

We characterize the design space of Nakamoto-like protocols operating in the fully-permissionless setting using physical resources that are secure against double-spending attacks in an idealized model.

Background

Degree of Permissionlessness ¹

¹ "Permissionless Consensus" (Lewis-Pye and Roughgarden 2024)

1. Fully permissionless - Protocol does not know current participation, e.g., Bitcoin.

¹ "Permissionless Consensus" (Lewis-Pye and Roughgarden 2024)

- 1. Fully permissionless Protocol does not know current participation, e.g., Bitcoin.
- 2. Dynamically available Participation from a subset of a dynamically evolving list of IDs, e.g., Ouroboros Genesis.

¹ "Permissionless Consensus" (Lewis-Pye and Roughgarden 2024)

- 1. Fully permissionless Protocol does not know current participation, e.g., Bitcoin.
- 2. Dynamically available Participation from a subset of a dynamically evolving list of IDs, e.g., Ouroboros Genesis.
- 3. Quasi-permissionless Participation of all the IDs in a dynamically evolving list above, e.g., Algorand.

¹ "Permissionless Consensus" (Lewis-Pye and Roughgarden 2024)

- 1. Fully permissionless Protocol does not know current participation, e.g., Bitcoin.
- 2. Dynamically available Participation from a subset of a dynamically evolving list of IDs, e.g., Ouroboros Genesis.
- 3. Quasi-permissionless Participation of all the IDs in a dynamically evolving list above, e.g., Algorand.
- 4. Permissioned e.g., Tendermint.

¹ "Permissionless Consensus" (Lewis-Pye and Roughgarden 2024)

 $^{^2}$ "Analysis of Nakamoto Consensus"; "Everything is a Race and Nakamoto Always Wins" (Ren 2019; Dembo et al. 2020)

³ "Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System" (Nakamoto 2009)

Bitcoin is heaviest-chain protocol that operates in a fully permissionless setting.

 $^{^2}$ "Analysis of Nakamoto Consensus"; "Everything is a Race and Nakamoto Always Wins" (Ren 2019; Dembo et al. 2020)

³ "Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System" (Nakamoto 2009)

Bitcoin is heaviest-chain protocol that operates in a fully permissionless setting.

Heaviest-chain Selection Rule: Choose the chain with highest cumulative difficulty.

 $^{^2}$ "Analysis of Nakamoto Consensus"; "Everything is a Race and Nakamoto Always Wins" (Ren 2019; Dembo et al. 2020)

³ "Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System" (Nakamoto 2009)

Bitcoin is heaviest-chain protocol that operates in a fully permissionless setting.

Heaviest-chain Selection Rule: Choose the chain with highest cumulative difficulty.

Secure under "honest-majority": At any point of time

Honest PoW > Adversarial PoW

 $^{^2}$ "Analysis of Nakamoto Consensus"; "Everything is a Race and Nakamoto Always Wins" (Ren 2019; Dembo et al. 2020)

³ "Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System" (Nakamoto 2009)

Bitcoin is heaviest-chain protocol that operates in a fully permissionless setting.

Heaviest-chain Selection Rule: Choose the chain with highest cumulative difficulty.

Secure under "honest-majority": At any point of time

Honest PoW > Adversarial PoW

Taking network delays into account ²

Honest PoW > $\chi(\Delta) \cdot$ **Adversarial PoW**

 $^{^{2}}$ "Analysis of Nakamoto Consensus"; "Everything is a Race and Nakamoto Always Wins" (Ren 2019; Dembo et al. 2020)

³ "Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System" (Nakamoto 2009)

⁴ "The Chia Network Blockchain" (Cohen and Pietrzak 2019)

⁴ "The Chia Network Blockchain" (Cohen and Pietrzak 2019)

Chia is a heaviest-chain protocol that operates in fully-permissionless setting.

⁴ "The Chia Network Blockchain" (Cohen and Pietrzak 2019)

Chia is a heaviest-chain protocol that operates in fully-permissionless setting.

Uses Proof-of-Space and Verifiable Delay Functions (VDF).

⁴ "The Chia Network Blockchain" (Cohen and Pietrzak 2019)

Chia is a heaviest-chain protocol that operates in fully-permissionless setting.

Uses Proof-of-Space and Verifiable Delay Functions (VDF).

Secure under "honest-majority": At any point of time

Honest Space · VDF Speed > Adversarial Space · VDF Speed

⁴ "The Chia Network Blockchain" (Cohen and Pietrzak 2019)

• To produce a block parties need to solve a challenge which arrive periodically.

- To produce a block parties need to solve a challenge which arrive periodically.
- Each block *B_i* indicates how much resource went into producing it (upto an approximation).

- To produce a block parties need to solve a challenge which arrive periodically.
- Each block *B_i* indicates how much resource went into producing it (upto an approximation).
- We consider three available physical resources space (POS), *S*, sequential work (VDF), *V*, and parallel work (PoW), *W*. There may be multiple versions of resources, say two different PoW *W*₁, *W*₂.

- To produce a block parties need to solve a challenge which arrive periodically.
- Each block *B_i* indicates how much resource went into producing it (upto an approximation).
- We consider three available physical resources space (POS), *S*, sequential work (VDF), *V*, and parallel work (PoW), *W*. There may be multiple versions of resources, say two different PoW *W*₁, *W*₂.
- V, W are timed resources.

- To produce a block parties need to solve a challenge which arrive periodically.
- Each block *B_i* indicates how much resource went into producing it (upto an approximation).
- We consider three available physical resources space (POS), *S*, sequential work (VDF), *V*, and parallel work (PoW), *W*. There may be multiple versions of resources, say two different PoW *W*₁, *W*₂.
- V, W are timed resources.
- Honestly generated blocks accurately reflect the resource honest parties had for the duration since latest challenge arrived.

- To produce a block parties need to solve a challenge which arrive periodically.
- Each block *B_i* indicates how much resource went into producing it (upto an approximation).
- We consider three available physical resources space (POS), *S*, sequential work (VDF), *V*, and parallel work (PoW), *W*. There may be multiple versions of resources, say two different PoW *W*₁, *W*₂.
- V, W are timed resources.
- Honestly generated blocks accurately reflect the resource honest parties had for the duration since latest challenge arrived.
- An adversary may violate these and misrepresent how much resource by spending more or less time on solving a challenge.

- To produce a block parties need to solve a challenge which arrive periodically.
- Each block *B_i* indicates how much resource went into producing it (upto an approximation).
- We consider three available physical resources space (POS), *S*, sequential work (VDF), *V*, and parallel work (PoW), *W*. There may be multiple versions of resources, say two different PoW *W*₁, *W*₂.
- V, W are timed resources.
- Honestly generated blocks accurately reflect the resource honest parties had for the duration since latest challenge arrived.
- An adversary may violate these and misrepresent how much resource by spending more or less time on solving a challenge.
- Chain Selection Rule: Choose the chain with higher

 $\sum_{i} (\Gamma(\operatorname{Resource}(B_i)))$

Which weight functions, Γ , are secure?.

Which weight functions, Γ , are secure?.

Examples: W and SV are secure weight functions.

Which weight functions, Γ , are secure?.

Examples: W and SV are secure weight functions.

We first study the question in an idealized model and then make it more realistic.

Continuous Model

Resource Profile

• We model time as continuous.

Resource Profile

- We model time as continuous.
- Operating under the maxim:

"Ideal chain reflects exactly at each point of time the amount of resource that went into producing it."

Resource Profile

- We model time as continuous.
- Operating under the maxim:
 - "Ideal chain reflects exactly at each point of time the amount of resource that went into producing it."
- <u>Resource Profile</u>: Resources which are available at any point of time.

 $S: [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ $V: [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ $W: [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$

Collectively, $\mathcal{R} = (S, V, W)_{[0,T]}$. Honest resources are $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{H}}$ and adversarial resources are $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{A}}$.



Chain Profile
• <u>Continuous Chain Profile</u>: From a given resource profile the parties create a chain which is represented as

 $\mathcal{CC} = (S, V, W)_{[0,T]}.$

• <u>Continuous Chain Profile</u>: From a given resource profile the parties create a chain which is represented as

 $\mathcal{CC} = (S, V, W)_{[0,T]}.$

For honest parties chain profile accurately represents their resource:

 $\mathcal{CC}^{\mathcal{H}}=\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{H}}$

What can adversary do?

What can adversary do?

$$\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{A}} = (\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{W}^{\mathcal{A}}(t))_{[0, \mathcal{T}_{end}]} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}} = (\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}^{\mathcal{A}}(\widetilde{t}), \widetilde{\boldsymbol{V}}^{\mathcal{A}}(\widetilde{t}), \widetilde{\boldsymbol{W}}^{\mathcal{A}}(\widetilde{t}))_{[0, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{end}]}$$

$$\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{A}} = (\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{W}^{\mathcal{A}}(t))_{[0, \mathcal{T}_{end}]} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}} = (\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}^{\mathcal{A}}(\widetilde{t}), \widetilde{\boldsymbol{V}}^{\mathcal{A}}(\widetilde{t}), \widetilde{\boldsymbol{W}}^{\mathcal{A}}(\widetilde{t}))_{[0, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{end}]}$$

Intuition: Adversary can trade-off time with resource. It can wait longer to put more resource into the chain at one point and make it appear as if it had more by manipulating the timestamps.

$$\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{A}} = (\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{W}^{\mathcal{A}}(t))_{[0, \mathcal{T}_{end}]} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}} = (\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}^{\mathcal{A}}(\widetilde{t}), \widetilde{\boldsymbol{V}}^{\mathcal{A}}(\widetilde{t}), \widetilde{\boldsymbol{W}}^{\mathcal{A}}(\widetilde{t}))_{[0, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{end}]}$$

<u>Intuition</u>: Adversary can trade-off time with resource. It can wait longer to put more resource into the chain at one point and make it appear as if it had more by manipulating the timestamps.



Adversarial Resource Profile $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{A}} = (\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{W}^{\mathcal{A}}(t))_{[0, \mathcal{T}_{end}]}$

Adversarial Resource Profile $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{A}} = (\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{W}^{\mathcal{A}}(t))_{[0, T_{end}]}$ Choose a function $\phi(t) \colon [0, T_{end}] \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ Adversarial Resource Profile $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{A}} = (\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{W}^{\mathcal{A}}(t))_{[0, \mathcal{T}_{end}]}$ Choose a function $\phi(t) \colon [0, \mathcal{T}_{end}] \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$

Adversarial chain profile

$$\mathcal{CC}^{\mathcal{A}} = (\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}^{\mathcal{A}}(\widetilde{t}), \widetilde{\boldsymbol{V}}^{\mathcal{A}}(\widetilde{t}), \widetilde{\boldsymbol{W}}^{\mathcal{A}}(\widetilde{t}))_{[0, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\tau}}_{end}]}$$

such that

Adversarial Resource Profile $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{A}} = (\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{W}^{\mathcal{A}}(t))_{[0, \mathcal{T}_{end}]}$ Choose a function $\phi(t) \colon [0, \mathcal{T}_{end}] \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$

Adversarial chain profile

$$\mathcal{CC}^{\mathcal{A}} = (\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}^{\mathcal{A}}(\widetilde{t}), \widetilde{\boldsymbol{V}}^{\mathcal{A}}(\widetilde{t}), \widetilde{\boldsymbol{W}}^{\mathcal{A}}(\widetilde{t}))_{[0, \widetilde{\tau}_{end}]}$$

such that

 $0 < \widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}^{\mathcal{A}}(\widetilde{t}) \leq \boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(t)$

for all $\tilde{t} \in [0, \tilde{T}_{end}]$

Adversarial Resource Profile $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{A}} = (\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{W}^{\mathcal{A}}(t))_{[0, \mathcal{T}_{end}]}$ Choose a function $\phi(t) \colon [0, \mathcal{T}_{end}] \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$

Adversarial chain profile

$$\mathcal{CC}^{\mathcal{A}} = (\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}^{\mathcal{A}}(\widetilde{t}), \widetilde{\boldsymbol{V}}^{\mathcal{A}}(\widetilde{t}), \widetilde{\boldsymbol{W}}^{\mathcal{A}}(\widetilde{t}))_{[0, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{T}}_{end}]}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}^{\mathcal{A}}(\widetilde{t}) \leq \boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(t) \\ 0 &< \widetilde{\boldsymbol{V}}^{\mathcal{A}}(\widetilde{t}) \leq \phi(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathcal{A}}(t) \\ 0 &< \widetilde{\boldsymbol{W}}^{\mathcal{A}}(\widetilde{t}) \leq \phi(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{W}^{\mathcal{A}}(t) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\tilde{t} \in [0, \tilde{T}_{end}]$

Weight function is a non-constant function given by

 $\Gamma \colon \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$

Weight function is a non-constant function given by

 $\Gamma \colon \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$

Weight of a resource profile $\mathcal{R} = (\mathbf{S}'(t), \mathbf{V}'(t), \mathbf{W}'(t))_{[0,T]}$

$$\overline{\Gamma}(\mathcal{R}) \coloneqq \int_0^T \Gamma(\boldsymbol{S}'(t), \boldsymbol{V}'(t), \boldsymbol{W}'(t)) dt$$

Weight function is a non-constant function given by

 $\Gamma \colon \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$

Weight of a resource profile $\mathcal{R} = (\mathbf{S}'(t), \mathbf{V}'(t), \mathbf{W}'(t))_{[0,T]}$

$$\overline{\mathbf{\Gamma}}(\mathcal{R}) \coloneqq \int_0^T \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{S}'(t), \mathbf{V}'(t), \mathbf{W}'(t)) \, dt$$

Weight of a chain profile $\mathcal{CC} = (\boldsymbol{S}(t), \boldsymbol{V}(t), \boldsymbol{W}(t))_{[0,T]}$

$$\overline{\Gamma}(\mathcal{CC}) \coloneqq \int_0^T \Gamma(\boldsymbol{S}(t), \boldsymbol{V}(t), \boldsymbol{W}(t)) dt$$

Secure Weight Function

A weight function Γ is *secure* in the *continuous model*

A weight function Γ is *secure* in the *continuous model* if for all $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{H}} = (\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{H}}(t), \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathcal{H}}(t), \boldsymbol{W}^{\mathcal{H}}(t))_{[0, T_{end}]}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{A}} = (\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{W}^{\mathcal{A}}(t))_{[0, T_{end}]}$ such that

 $\Gamma(\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{W}^{\mathcal{A}}(t)) \leq \Gamma(\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{H}}(t), \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathcal{H}}(t), \boldsymbol{W}^{\mathcal{H}}(t)) \, \forall t \in [0, T_{end}]$

A weight function Γ is secure in the continuous model if for all $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{H}} = (\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{H}}(t), \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathcal{H}}(t), \boldsymbol{W}^{\mathcal{H}}(t))_{[0, \mathcal{T}_{end}]}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{A}} = (\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{W}^{\mathcal{A}}(t))_{[0, \mathcal{T}_{end}]}$ such that

 $\Gamma(\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{W}^{\mathcal{A}}(t)) \leq \Gamma(\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{H}}(t), \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathcal{H}}(t), \boldsymbol{W}^{\mathcal{H}}(t)) \, \forall t \in [0, T_{end}]$

and for a time interval $[\mathit{T}_0, \mathit{T}_1]$

 $\Gamma(\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{W}^{\mathcal{A}}(t)) < \Gamma(\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{H}}(t), \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathcal{H}}(t), \boldsymbol{W}^{\mathcal{H}}(t)) \,\forall t \in [T_0, T_1]$

A weight function Γ is *secure* in the *continuous model* if for all $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{H}} = (\mathbf{S}^{\mathcal{H}}(t), \mathbf{V}^{\mathcal{H}}(t), \mathbf{W}^{\mathcal{H}}(t))_{[0, \mathcal{T}_{end}]}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathbf{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \mathbf{V}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \mathbf{W}^{\mathcal{A}}(t))_{[0, \mathcal{T}_{end}]}$ such that

 $\Gamma(\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{W}^{\mathcal{A}}(t)) \leq \Gamma(\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{H}}(t), \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathcal{H}}(t), \boldsymbol{W}^{\mathcal{H}}(t)) \, \forall t \in [0, T_{end}]$

and for a time interval $[T_0, T_1]$

 $\Gamma(\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{W}^{\mathcal{A}}(t)) < \Gamma(\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{H}}(t), \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathcal{H}}(t), \boldsymbol{W}^{\mathcal{H}}(t)) \,\forall t \in [T_0, T_1]$

it holds that

$$\overline{\Gamma}(\mathcal{CC}^{\mathcal{H}}) > \overline{\Gamma}(\mathcal{CC}^{\mathcal{A}})$$

where $\mathcal{CC}^{\mathcal{H}} := \mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\mathcal{CC}^{\mathcal{A}}$ is created from $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{A}}$ using some $\phi(t)$.

A weight function Γ is secure if and only if $\Gamma(S, V, W)$ is monotonically increasing and homogeneous in V, W.

A weight function Γ is secure if and only if $\Gamma(S, V, W)$ is monotonically increasing and homogeneous in V, W.

A function f(x, y, z) is homogeneous in y, z if for all $\alpha > 0$

 $f(x, \alpha y, \alpha z) = \alpha f(x, y, z)$

A weight function Γ is secure if and only if $\Gamma(S, V, W)$ is monotonically increasing and homogeneous in V, W.

A function f(x, y, z) is homogeneous in y, z if for all $\alpha > 0$

 $f(x, \alpha y, \alpha z) = \alpha f(x, y, z)$

V, W are *timed* resources, while *S* is not a timed resource.

A weight function Γ is secure if and only if $\Gamma(S, V, W)$ is monotonically increasing and homogeneous in V, W.

A function f(x, y, z) is homogeneous in y, z if for all $\alpha > 0$

 $f(x, \alpha y, \alpha z) = \alpha f(x, y, z)$

V, W are timed resources, while S is not a timed resource.Physics intuition: we want the units to be per second.

Examples



Chia



Towards a more realistic model.

Towards a more realistic model.



Timed resources $V_{\scriptscriptstyle \bullet}$ and $W_{\scriptscriptstyle \bullet}$ are reflected by

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{s}}(b_i) = \int_{t_i}^{t_i'} \mathbf{V}(t) dt$$
 and $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{s}}(b_i) = \int_{t_i}^{t_i'} \mathbf{W}(t) dt$.

Timed resources $V_{\scriptscriptstyle \! I\!\!I}$ and $W_{\scriptscriptstyle \! I\!\!I}$ are reflected by

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{s}}(b_i) = \int_{t_i}^{t_i'} \mathbf{V}(t) dt$$
 and $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{s}}(b_i) = \int_{t_i}^{t_i'} \mathbf{W}(t) dt$.

The constraint on S_{\bullet} is that

$$\inf_{t_i < t < t'_i} \boldsymbol{S}(t) \le \mathbf{S}_{\bullet}(b_i) < \sup_{t_i < t < t'_i} \boldsymbol{S}(t).$$
(1)

Timed resources $V_{\scriptscriptstyle \bullet}$ and $W_{\scriptscriptstyle \bullet}$ are reflected by

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{s}}(b_i) = \int_{t_i}^{t_i'} \mathbf{V}(t) dt$$
 and $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{s}}(b_i) = \int_{t_i}^{t_i'} \mathbf{W}(t) dt$.

The constraint on S_a is that

$$\inf_{i_i < t < t'_i} \boldsymbol{S}(t) \le \mathbf{S}_{\bullet}(b_i) < \sup_{t_i < t < t'_i} \boldsymbol{S}(t).$$
(1)

The weight of a block b is $\Gamma(S_{\bullet}(b), V_{\bullet}(b), W_{\bullet}(b))$.

Timed resources $V_{\scriptscriptstyle \blacksquare}$ and $W_{\scriptscriptstyle \blacksquare}$ are reflected by

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{s}}(b_i) = \int_{t_i}^{t_i'} \mathbf{V}(t) dt$$
 and $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{s}}(b_i) = \int_{t_i}^{t_i'} \mathbf{W}(t) dt$.

The constraint on S_{II} is that

$$\inf_{i < t < t'_i} \boldsymbol{S}(t) \leq \mathbf{S}_{\bullet}(b_i) < \sup_{t_i < t < t'_i} \boldsymbol{S}(t).$$
(1)

The weight of a block b is $\Gamma(S_{\bullet}(b), V_{\bullet}(b), W_{\bullet}(b))$.

A discrete blockchain $\mathcal{BC} = (b_0, \dots, b_B)$

Timed resources $V_{\scriptscriptstyle \blacksquare}$ and $W_{\scriptscriptstyle \blacksquare}$ are reflected by

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{s}}(b_i) = \int_{t_i}^{t_i'} \mathbf{V}(t) dt$$
 and $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{s}}(b_i) = \int_{t_i}^{t_i'} \mathbf{W}(t) dt$.

The constraint on S_{\bullet} is that

$$\inf_{i < t < t'_i} \boldsymbol{S}(t) \leq \mathbf{S}_{\bullet}(b_i) < \sup_{t_i < t < t'_i} \boldsymbol{S}(t).$$
(1)

The weight of a block *b* is $\Gamma(S_{\bullet}(b), V_{\bullet}(b), W_{\bullet}(b))$.

A discrete blockchain $\mathcal{BC} = (b_0, \dots b_B)$

The weight of a blockchain is

$$\overline{\Gamma}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{BC}) = \sum_{b_i \in \mathcal{BC}} \Gamma(\mathbf{S}_{\bullet}(b_i), \mathbf{V}_{\bullet}(b_i), \mathbf{W}_{\bullet}(b_i))$$
(2)
What can an adversary do?

Honest parties choose uniform timestamps.

What can an adversary do?

Honest parties choose uniform timestamps.



Adversary may choose to deviate.

What can an adversary do?

Adversary may choose to deviate.



Adversary is now too powerful, so we need to bring additional restrictions. A natural restrictions:

Smoothness

Adversary is now too powerful, so we need to bring additional restrictions. A natural restrictions:

 ξ -smoothness: ($\xi \ge 1$)

A blockchain \mathcal{BC} created from \mathcal{R} is ξ -smooth if, for all blocks b_i

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{S}_{\max}(b_i) &\leq \xi \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\min}(b_i) \\ \mathbf{V}_{\max}(b_i) &\leq \xi \cdot \mathbf{V}_{\min}(b_i) \\ \mathbf{W}_{\max}(b_i) &\leq \xi \cdot \mathbf{W}_{\min}(b_i). \end{split}$$

Smoothness

Adversary is now too powerful, so we need to bring additional restrictions. A natural restrictions:

 ξ -smoothness: ($\xi \ge 1$)

A blockchain \mathcal{BC} created from \mathcal{R} is ξ -smooth if, for all blocks b_i

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{S}_{\max}(b_i) &\leq \xi \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\min}(b_i) \\ \mathbf{V}_{\max}(b_i) &\leq \xi \cdot \mathbf{V}_{\min}(b_i) \\ \mathbf{W}_{\max}(b_i) &\leq \xi \cdot \mathbf{W}_{\min}(b_i). \end{split}$$

This is akin to Bitcoin not allowing difficulty to change by more than a factor 4.

Smoothness

Adversary is now too powerful, so we need to bring additional restrictions. A natural restrictions:

 ξ -smoothness: ($\xi \ge 1$)

A blockchain \mathcal{BC} created from \mathcal{R} is ξ -smooth if, for all blocks b_i

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{S}_{\max}(b_i) &\leq \xi \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\min}(b_i) \\ \mathbf{V}_{\max}(b_i) &\leq \xi \cdot \mathbf{V}_{\min}(b_i) \\ \mathbf{W}_{\max}(b_i) &\leq \xi \cdot \mathbf{W}_{\min}(b_i). \end{split}$$

This is akin to Bitcoin not allowing difficulty to change by more than a factor 4.

This can be achieved by restricting how much total resources can go into a single block.

Security in Discrete Model

We additionally need to increase the gap between adversarial resources and the honest resources.

We additionally need to increase the gap between adversarial resources and the honest resources.

 $\underbrace{\textbf{Security}}_{\text{any resource profiles } \mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{H}} \text{ and } \mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{A}} \text{ such that}$

 $\delta \cdot \Gamma(\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{W}^{\mathcal{A}}(t)) < \Gamma(\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{H}}(t), \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathcal{H}}(t), \boldsymbol{W}^{\mathcal{H}}(t)) \, \forall t \in [0, T]$

the following is true:

We additionally need to increase the gap between adversarial resources and the honest resources.

 $\underbrace{\textbf{Security}}_{\text{any resource profiles } \mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{H}} \text{ and } \mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{A}} \text{ such that}$

 $\delta \cdot \Gamma(\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathcal{A}}(t), \boldsymbol{W}^{\mathcal{A}}(t)) < \Gamma(\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathcal{H}}(t), \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathcal{H}}(t), \boldsymbol{W}^{\mathcal{H}}(t)) \, \forall t \in [0, T]$

the following is true:

For any ξ -smooth blockchains $\mathcal{BC}^{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\mathcal{BC}^{\mathcal{A}}$, created from $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{A}}$ respectively,

 $\overline{\Gamma}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{BC}^{\mathcal{H}}) > \overline{\Gamma}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{BC}^{\mathcal{A}})$

Main Result in Discrete Model

Theorem

For any $\delta \geq 1$, a weight function is $\Gamma(S, V, W)$ is $(\delta, \sqrt[4]{\delta})$ -secure if it is

- 1. monotonically increasing;
- 2. homogeneous in V and W; and
- 3. sub-homogeneous in *S*.

Main Result in Discrete Model

Theorem

For any $\delta \geq 1$, a weight function is $\Gamma(S, V, W)$ is $(\delta, \sqrt[4]{\delta})$ -secure if it is

- 1. monotonically increasing;
- 2. homogeneous in V and W; and
- 3. sub-homogeneous in *S*.

A function f(x, y, z) is sub-homogeneous in x if for all $\alpha > 0$ $f(\alpha x, y, z) \le \alpha f(x, y, z)$

Main Result in Discrete Model

Theorem

For any $\delta \geq 1$, a weight function is $\Gamma(S, V, W)$ is $(\delta, \sqrt[4]{\delta})$ -secure if it is

- 1. monotonically increasing;
- 2. homogeneous in V and W; and
- 3. sub-homogeneous in *S*.

A function f(x, y, z) is sub-homogeneous in x if for all $\alpha > 0$

 $f(\alpha x, y, z) \leq \alpha f(x, y, z)$

Intuitively sub-homogeneity in S is required because we allowed adversary to pick max space while honest parties get the smaller one. Additionally we have a problem of replotting in space.

Discussion

Replotting

Replotting attacks are inherent to Proof - of - Space in a fully permissionless and dynamically available settings. The adversary re-initiates its space using a different key to make it appear as if it has more space.

Replotting attacks are inherent to Proof - of - Space in a fully permissionless and dynamically available settings. The adversary re-initiates its space using a different key to make it appear as if it has more space.

In quasi-permissionless setting one can stop replotting by making parties commit their space on-chain and doing frequent checks that they have not deleted their space *e.g. Filecoin*.

Replotting attacks are inherent to Proof - of - Space in a fully permissionless and dynamically available settings. The adversary re-initiates its space using a different key to make it appear as if it has more space.

In quasi-permissionless setting one can stop replotting by making parties commit their space on-chain and doing frequent checks that they have not deleted their space *e.g. Filecoin*.

For our secure functions in discrete model, bounding total weight that can go into a block mitigates the replotting attacks. Though a more thorough study is required.

Our model assumes that total resource gets accurately measured on-chain. While it may not be possible to fully achieve this, we can approximate it well:

Our model assumes that total resource gets accurately measured on-chain. While it may not be possible to fully achieve this, we can approximate it well:

- Collecting top *k* solves to the challenge, say partial solutions to PoW.
- Averaging over multiple blocks, akin to how it works for bitcoin difficulty.
- For VDF's we just require the fastest one.

Our model assumes that total resource gets accurately measured on-chain. While it may not be possible to fully achieve this, we can approximate it well:

- Collecting top *k* solves to the challenge, say partial solutions to PoW.
- Averaging over multiple blocks, akin to how it works for bitcoin difficulty.
- For VDF's we just require the fastest one.

We do not formally model network delays. This would bring an additional factor of $\chi(\Delta)$ for the resource gap. In case of PoW this has been extensively studied. Similar techniques should apply in our case.

Our model assumes that total resource gets accurately measured on-chain. While it may not be possible to fully achieve this, we can approximate it well:

- Collecting top *k* solves to the challenge, say partial solutions to PoW.
- Averaging over multiple blocks, akin to how it works for bitcoin difficulty.
- For VDF's we just require the fastest one.

We do not formally model network delays. This would bring an additional factor of $\chi(\Delta)$ for the resource gap. In case of PoW this has been extensively studied. Similar techniques should apply in our case.

We also do not model attacks like *grinding* and *double-dipping* but these are well-studied and we assume they are taken care of already.

⁵ "Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System"; "The Chia Network Blockchain"; "Minotaur: Multi-Resource Blockchain Consensus" (Nakamoto 2009; Cohen and Pietrzak 2019; Fitzi et al. n.d.)

Main Takeaway: A New Set of Weight Rules

Weight functions like $W, SV, W_1 + \cdots + W_k^5$ we previously known.

⁵ "Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System"; "The Chia Network Blockchain"; "Minotaur: Multi-Resource Blockchain Consensus" (Nakamoto 2009; Cohen and Pietrzak 2019; Fitzi et al. n.d.)

Weight functions like $W, SV, W_1 + \cdots + W_k^5$ we previously known.

We show a vast class of weight functions for fully-permissionless setting: $\Gamma(S, V, W)$ which is

- 1. monotonically increasing
- 2. homogeneous in V, W (the timed resources)
- 3. sub-homogeneous in *S*.

⁵ "Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System"; "The Chia Network Blockchain"; "Minotaur: Multi-Resource Blockchain Consensus" (Nakamoto 2009; Cohen and Pietrzak 2019; Fitzi et al. n.d.)

Weight functions like $W, SV, W_1 + \cdots + W_k^5$ we previously known.

We show a vast class of weight functions for fully-permissionless setting: $\Gamma(S, V, W)$ which is

- 1. monotonically increasing
- 2. homogeneous in V, W (the timed resources)
- 3. sub-homogeneous in *S*.

Interesting examples:

 $\sqrt{W_1 \cdot W_2}, W_1^{0.3} \cdot W_2^{0.2} \cdot W_3^{0.5}, \min\{W_1, W_2\}, SW, S \cdot \sqrt{WV}$

⁵ "Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System"; "The Chia Network Blockchain"; "Minotaur: Multi-Resource Blockchain Consensus" (Nakamoto 2009; Cohen and Pietrzak 2019; Fitzi et al. n.d.)

Weight functions like $W, SV, W_1 + \cdots + W_k^5$ we previously known.

We show a vast class of weight functions for fully-permissionless setting: $\Gamma(S, V, W)$ which is

- 1. monotonically increasing
- 2. homogeneous in V, W (the timed resources)
- 3. sub-homogeneous in *S*.

Interesting examples:

 $\sqrt{W_1 \cdot W_2}, W_1^{0.3} \cdot W_2^{0.2} \cdot W_3^{0.5}, \min\{W_1, W_2\}, SW, S \cdot \sqrt{WV}$

These provide different economic incentives and may provide additional decentralizing force.

⁵ "Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System"; "The Chia Network Blockchain"; "Minotaur: Multi-Resource Blockchain Consensus" (Nakamoto 2009; Cohen and Pietrzak 2019; Fitzi et al. n.d.)

Future Work

⁶ "Minotaur: Multi-Resource Blockchain Consensus" (Fitzi et al. n.d.)

• Analyze under network delays and different synchrony models.

⁶ "Minotaur: Multi-Resource Blockchain Consensus" (Fitzi et al. n.d.)

- Analyze under network delays and different synchrony models.
- Economic analysis for various rules in fully permissionless setting to derive economic security.

⁶ "Minotaur: Multi-Resource Blockchain Consensus" (Fitzi et al. n.d.)

- Analyze under network delays and different synchrony models.
- Economic analysis for various rules in fully permissionless setting to derive economic security.
- Understand the landscape of weights for heaviest-chain rules in dynamically-available setting and add Proof-of-Stake ⁶.

⁶ "Minotaur: Multi-Resource Blockchain Consensus" (Fitzi et al. n.d.)

Conclusion

- We introduce a new idealized model to study secure weight function rules in a fully-permissionless setting.
- We characterize secure weight functions as those that are monotonically increasing, homogeneous in V, W and sub-homogeneous in S.
- Please see our paper for more details and discussion.


Conclusion

- We introduce a new idealized model to study secure weight function rules in a fully-permissionless setting.
- We characterize secure weight functions as those that are monotonically increasing, homogeneous in V, W and sub-homogeneous in S.
- Please see our paper for more details and discussion.



Thank you!